

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/601,267	KEITH, WILLIAM NICOL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher M. Babic	1637	

All Participants:

(1) Christopher M. Babic.

(2) Kathleen D. Rigaut, Ph.D., J.D..

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 February 2006

Time: 4:00PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

5 and 48

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner's amendment was agreed upon to avoid indefiniteness issue with the phrase "...or gene..." in Claim 5 and 48.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Chris M. Babic 2/22/06

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)